Ecological Informatics 47 (2018) 50–54

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Ecological Informatics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ecolinf

Modelling of the carbon sequestration and its prediction under climate change

Vilém Pechanec[a,*], Jan Purkyt[b,c], Antonín Benc[a], Chukwudi Nwaogu[a], Lenka Štěrbová[b], Pavel Cudlín[b]

[a] Palacký University Olomouc, Department of Geoinformatics, 17. listopadu 50, 771 46 Olomouc, Czech Republic
[b] Global Change Research Institute CAS, Lipová 9, 370 05 České Budějovice, Czech Republic
[c] University of South Bohemia in České Budějovice, Faculty of Agriculture, Studentská 1668, 370 05 České Budějovice, Czech Republic

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
Carbon sequestration
Climate change
InVEST
Land use modelling
GIS

ABSTRACT

The aim of the presented study is to quantify the total carbon stock of habitats in addition the estimation of aboveground and belowground biomass, necromass, and soil organic carbon. Prediction of carbon storage under climate change is based on future land-use changes, identification of new land-use distribution, and evaluation of changes in human impacts on biomass production and carbon storage. Widely used InVEST model was applied to determine the existing carbon stocks and the amount of carbon captured over time. Changes in the carbon storage were calculated from aboveground biomass, belowground biomass, necromass, and soil organic carbon pools. The original model was modified to vector space to better identify land heterogeneity. The values of the four carbon pools for individual land-use categories were derived from literature and experimental investigation. Land Change Modeller was then used to model future land use by applying business-as-usual scenario on data derived from 1990, 2000, 2006, and 2012 Corine Land Cover data. In this contribution, land cover predictions are calculated using three CORDEX climate models and two emission scenarios (RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5). Results describe current carbon stock by basic carbon pools and prediction of the total amount of carbon stored in four reservoirs in three time period. Results show that the difference in predictions between specific scenarios in each period is increasing and in all predictions, roughly the same proportional carbon ratio is maintained between the individual stocks.

1. Introduction

Ecosystems regulate Earth's climate by adding and removing greenhouse gases such as CO_2 from the atmosphere. Forests, grasslands, peat swamps, and other terrestrial ecosystems collectively store much more carbon than does the atmosphere (Lal, 2004). By storing this carbon in wood, other biomass, and soil, ecosystems keep CO_2 out of the air, where it would contribute to climate change (Watson et al., 2000). Beyond just storing carbon, many ecosystems also contribute to its accumulation in plants and soil over time, thereby "sequestering" additional carbon each year. Disturbing these systems with fire, disease, or vegetation change through land use/land cover (LULC) conversion, significant amounts of CO_2 is released into the atmosphere (Kareiva et al., 2011). Therefore, information on current soil carbon stocks and possible changes are needed in the context of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. Managing landscapes for carbon storage and sequestration require information about volume and location of already stored carbon, quantity of carbon sequestered or lost over time, and relationship between land use change and its effect on carbon storage and sequestration over time (Oulehle et al., 2011). A terrestrial-based carbon sequestration process is perhaps the most widely recognized of all ecosystem services (Canadell and Raupach, 2008; IPCC, 2006; Pagiola, 2008; Stern, 2007).

Determination of carbon stocks in the aboveground biomass can be accomplished by a variety of methods, including both contact field measurements and contactless measurement using remote sensing. Contact measurement methods always provide more accurate results but they are costly and time consuming (Brown, 2002; Coomes et al., 2002; Gibbs et al., 2007; Machar et al., 2016). Therefore, for large areas, the contact methods are almost unusable. That is why in present time numerous studies are devoted to incorporate remote sensing technology for quick and contactless determination of carbon stocks (Goodenough et al., 2005; Mandal and van Laake, 2005; Vicharnakorn et al., 2014).

* Corresponding author.
E-mail address: vilem.pechanec@upol.cz (V. Pechanec).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoinf.2017.08.006
Received 16 February 2017; Received in revised form 17 August 2017; Accepted 29 August 2017
Available online 01 September 2017
1574-9541/ © 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

The fundamental methods based on contact approaches include forestry inventory, the method of table production, and Eddy Covariance method. Production table method is based on linking self-classified areas and pre-prepared tables of carbon stock production for each category according to preset classification. Tabulated values are derived from previous contact measurement and literary data. This method is also used in the documents of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) and it implements several software models including Invest or NLLUF-KP10 (Cruickshank et al., 2000, Kareiva et al., 2011; Kuldeep, 2011; Sanga-Ngoie et al., 2012). Eddy covariance method is based on direct measurements of energy flows. The method is very accurate but it is only intended for the direct measurement of the CO_2 flow for a small area (Hunt et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2012).

Land-use change is considered one of the most critical processes when attempting to understand and model the global change. It is a result of complex interactions between human and environmental driving factors (Schaldach and Priess, 2008) and it may impact soil, water, and atmosphere. Changes in land use may affect the climate while climate change will also influence opportunities for future land use (Dale, 1997). Lawler et al. (2014) studied land-use change using an econometric model to predict spatially specific changes in land use across the continuous United States from 2001 to 2051. The model estimated the probability of conversion among major land-use categories based on observations of past land-use change, land parcels characteristics, and economic return. Huang et al. (2015) used Markov-based cellular automata model for simulating and forecasting urban land change in Wuhan City, China, using satellite images captured between 1999 and 2005. The change in land use and land cover in Kermanshah City, Iran, was accomplished by utilizing Markov Chain model, Landsat satellite images, and site information (Razavi, 2014). Mishra et al. (2014) have employed IDRISI Land Change Modeller and Landsat satellite images to update the prediction and forecast map for years 2025 and 2035. Korateng and Zawilla-Niedzwiecki (2015) have utilized Cellular Automata and Markov Chain (Cellular Automata-Markov) to predict a land-use cover change for 2020 and 2030 for forest modelling of Ashanti Region, Ghana.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Carbon storage

The concept of model InVEST 3 - Carbon was applied to determine the existing carbon stocks and its future development using four carbon pools (aboveground biomass, underground biomass, dead organic matter and soil carbon) (Kareiva et al., 2011). This concept of InVEST model was implemented in ArcGIS for better identification of land heterogeneity and use data in the national coordinate system (EPSG: 5514). Individual carbon pools were identified for each analysed landscape segment based on the mapping of current land cover and topography. In each segment (pixel), the percentage representation of natural, near to nature, and more anthropically influenced habitats was analysed. According to percentage representation of habitats in each pool, the relevant carbon coefficient (amount of carbon per square meter) was assigned. The carbon coefficient for all four pools of natural and anthropically influenced habitats was derived from literature and experimental measurements (Stará et al., 2011). Coefficients were multiplied by the area of individual pools, and finally summed for each segment.

Prediction of the future carbon stocks was based on the changes in future land cover. An innovative element of this work is the interconnection of this model for the calculation of ecosystem services with the land cover prediction model using advanced modelling techniques including Markov chain for calculating the trend of change and Cellular automata for modelling the behaviour of the neighbours.

2.2. Prediction of land use

Historical land cover data describing the evolution of land cover in the Czech Republic comes from Corine Land Cover (CLC) database inventory mapped in 1990, 2000, 2006, and 2012. The CLC data were provided by the Czech Environmental Information Agency in a vector format (polygons) at scale 1:100,000 and supplemented with topography information including road and rail networks. The source of topographical data is Data200 geodatabase which represents a digital geographic model of the Czech Republic with accuracy and degree of generalisation to map scale of 1:200,000. The updated CLC vector layers were transformed to ETRS-89-LAEA coordinate system and then converted to raster format with a cell size of 500 × 500 m using dominant share rule. Dominant share rule assigns a pixel value of the feature that occupies the largest proportion of that pixel (Liu and Mason, 2016). TerrSet's Land Change Modeller (LCM) software was selected by authors for its ability to analyse land cover change, empirically model relationship between land cover and its explanatory variables, and project future changes (Eastman, 2016). It provided tools to perform spatio-temporal analysis of spatial CLC data to determine the difference in representation of each land cover category and subsequent evaluation of trends in land coverage for each category and location for the periods 2012–2030/2050/2090 on business as usual (BaU) scenario. First, LCM was used to assess dominant land use changes between time 1 (T1) and time 2 (T2) of two CLC maps (Fig. 1). The land-use changes identified between the two maps represent transitions from one land cover state to another and are used to evaluate losses and gains for each land-use class (Eastman, 2016). Then, transition potential model was created using multi-layer perceptron (MLP) neural network based on a set of explanatory variables, also called drivers. The model combined eight drivers and one barrier for selected periods. The drivers used in the development of the model included altitude, slope, distance to the urban area, distance to water streams, distance to roads, population density, average daily temperature and average daily precipitation sum.

The last two drivers were the only features that changed with time and were calculated using three climate models with Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) 4.5 and RCP 8.5 emission scenarios (Collins et al., 2011; Meinshausen, 2011). RPCs are greenhouse gas concentration trajectories that describe possible climate futures, all of which are considered possible depending on how much greenhouse gases are emitted in the years to come (Weyant et al., 2009). Three selected climate models CORDEX (EC-Earth - RACMO22E, MOHC-HADGEM2-ES - RCA4, MPI-ESM-LR - CLM4.8.17) with two emission scenarios (RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5) were adopted for Czech Republic territory in the frame of the CzechAdapt project (Climate change in CR, 2016). Results from climate models were obtained in a spreadsheet without geometric information. Therefore, a process of full integration of data from climate models into the GIS environment had to be developed. In the ArcGIS environment, a reference spatial layer based on forest typology maps and digital terrain model has been created and, by using this layer the climate tables, geocoded and transformed into a suitable spatial layer. Then, the layer was imported into LCM and loaded as a driver.

The other six drivers were set constant. The model also considered one barrier which defines the presence of large specially protected areas such as national parks and protected landscapes. The transition potential model was based on a given climatic model and emission scenario and interpolated for each land cover category. It expressed time-specific potential for land cover change. In the final step, a future scenario for a detailed data was predicted using the historical rates of change and the transition potential model. The simplified flowchart of the used methodology is presented in Fig. 1. Outputs display spatial distribution and quantification of particular forms of land cover change that is a result of predicted relations. Predicted land cover enters to model for calculation of new carbon stock. Carbon coefficients remain



Fig. 1. Simplified flowchart for methodology used in this study.

the same as set for the present time.

3. Results

The current total carbon stock in the Czech Republic is 950,393,943 t. The largest contribution to the stock comes from carbon stored in the soil (57.6%), followed by the aboveground biomass (31.4%), the underground biomass (7.7%), and the lowest amount of carbon is deposited in the dead mass (3.3%), as shown in Table 1. The size of the stock plays a vital role in carbon inventory evaluation. According to the Corine LC 2012 database, in the CR its size of the stock is: agricultural areas 56.5%, forest and semi-natural areas 36.3%, artificial surfaces 6.4%, water bodies 0.7%, and wetlands 0.1%.

The output of the prediction analysis is a set of raster maps showing the future distribution of individual land cover categories in the Czech Republic based on predictive relationships derived from differences in the representation of land cover categories since 1990. The outputs from three climate models using two emission scenarios (RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5) represent expected climate parameters and their impact on landscape development in periods 2020–2040, 2040–2060 and 2080–2100 (Table 2).

Table 1
The actual amount of total carbon (t) in the Czech Republic.

Carbon pool	Amount of carbon (t)	Amount of carbon (%)
Total carbon	950,393,943	100
Above-ground carbon	298,807,079	31,4
Below-ground carbon	73,147,221	7,7
Necromass carbon	31,239,365	3,3
Soil carbon	547,200,278	57,6

Based on the presented results, it can be seen that all models show a similar trend of carbon stock growth in the landscape. In 2030, the MOHC-HADGEM2-ES-RCA4 with the RCP 4.5 scenario and the MPI-ESM-LR - CLM4.8.17 with the RCP 4.5 scenario predict the largest and smallest increase in carbon, respectively. In 2050, the highest increase in the stock is predicted by MPI-ESM-LR - CLM4.8.17 the RCP 8.5 scenario and the lowest growth by model EC-Earth - RACMO22E with the RCP 4.5 scenario. In 2090 the greatest growth is predicted by model EC-Earth - RACMO22E the RCP 4.5 scenario and the smallest increase by model HADGEM2-ES - RCA4 with scenario RCP 8.5. It can also be seen that the difference in predictions between specific scenarios in each period is changing; in 2030 it is 1,473,454 t, in 2050 1,188,417 t and in 2090 it reaches up to 2,109,099 t of carbon. In all predictions, roughly the same proportional carbon ratio is maintained between the individual stocks. Differences are in the order of percentages against the current state.

4. Discussion

According to (Kareiva et al., 2011), the InVEST model simplifies the carbon cycle which allows it to run with relatively little information provided, but also leads to significant limitations. For example, the model assumes that none of the land cover types in the landscape is gaining or losing carbon over time. Instead, it assumes that all land cover types are at some fixed storage level equal to the average of measured storage levels within that land cover type. Under this assumption, the only changes in carbon storage over time are due to land cover change from one type to another. Another limitation is that the results are only as detailed and reliable as the land cover classification

Table 2
Total carbon (t) in the predicted years based on used climate models and emission scenarios.

Climate model	EC-Earth - RACMO22E		MOHC-HADGEM2-ES - RCA4		MPI-ESM-LR - CLM4.8.17	
Emission scenario	RCP 4.5	RCP8.5	RCP 4.5	RCP8.5	RCP 4.5	RCP8.5
2030	955,211,072	954,946,528	955,835,743	954,689,492	954,362,289	955,460,348
2050	963,125,740	962,154,730	962,526,634	963,053,162	962,251,492	963,343,147
2090	977,370,243	975,871,626	977,079,028	975,261,144	975,898,723	977,052,860

used. This limitation is because the model relies on carbon storage estimates for each land cover type. Carbon storage differs among land cover types, but often there can also be a significant variation that can be observed within the land cover type. Another limitation of the model is that it does not capture carbon that moves from one pool to another. To solve this problem, authors used 28 different land cover categories according to the Corine LC - level 3 classification. Then, separate carbon coefficients for each category were used and the percentage representation of natural, near to nature and more anthropically influenced habitats (land category) in each landscape segment calculated.

Results from LCM display a spatial distribution and quantification of particular forms of land cover change that is a result of predicted relations. When interpreting the results, it is necessary to consider the fact that the land cover development is a result of many factors, so the final outputs do not reflect only dependence on climatic factors but also on other six drivers that have been included in the model. In scenarios where the response to climatic factors was small, the influence of other drivers, such as the proximity to the urban area, has prevailed. The outputs represent landscape segments at a resolution of 500 m × 500 m and, therefore, some generalisation of smaller segments has occurred.

5. Conclusions

The aim of the work was to calculate current carbon stock in the Czech Republic and determine how predicted changes of the carbon stock differ from the basic pools in three distinct time horizons obtained from land-use development prediction modelling and expert estimates of carbon content.

The concept of basic model InVEST 3 - Carbon was applied to determine the existing carbon stocks using four carbon pools (aboveground biomass, underground biomass, dead organic matter and soil carbon). The Corine Land Cover database was used to describe the actual land cover of the Czech Republic. The carbon coefficients for selected carbon pools were derived from literature and experimental measurements performed by authors. Land Change Modeller was selected for its ability to analyse land cover change, empirically model relationship between land cover and its explanatory variables, and project future changes. The amount and future distribution of individual carbon pools were derived from the prediction of land cover that was modelled based on Corine Land Cover mapping, three selected CORDEX climate models, and two emission scenarios. Therefore, the changes in carbon stocks reflect the land use changes.

The results of the total amount of carbon stored in four reservoirs in three periods showed a similar trend of carbon stock increase in the landscape. The difference in predictions between specific scenarios increased with the years and in all predictions, roughly the same proportional carbon ratio was maintained between the individual stocks. Differences are in the order of percentages against the current state.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by grant from Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway [grant number EHP-CZ02-OV-1-014-2014], by the Grant Agency of Czech Republic [grant number 16-21053S] and by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of CR within the National Sustainability Program I (NPU I), grant number LO1415.

References

Brown, S., 2002. Measuring carbon in forests: current status and future challenges. Environ. Pollut. 116 (3), 363–372. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491(01)00212-3.

Canadell, J.G., Raupach, M.R., 2008. Managing forests for climate change mitigation. Science 320 (5882), 1456–1457. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1155458.

Climate change in CR, 2016. Output from the project "CzechAdapt – system for exchange of information on climate change impacts, vulnerability and adaptation measures on the territory of the Czech Republic". http://www.klimatickazmena.cz/en/ (accessed 15.02.17).

Collins, W.J., Bellouin, N., Doutriaux-Boucher, M., Gedney, N., Halloran, P., Hinton, T., Hughes, J., Jones, C.D., Joshi, M., Liddicoat, S., Martin, G., O'Connor, F., Rae, J., Senior, C., Sitch, S., Totterdell, I., Wiltshire, A., Woodward, S., 2011. Development and evaluation of an Earth-System model – HadGEM2. Geosci. Model Dev. 4, 1051–1075. http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/gmd-4-1051-2011.

Coomes, D.A., Allen, R.B., Scott, N.A., Goulding, C., Beets, P., 2002. Designing systems to monitor carbon stocks in forests and shrublands. For. Ecol. Manag. 164 (1–3), 89–108. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(01)00592-8.

Cruickshank, M.M., Tomlinson, R.W., Trew, S., 2000. Application of CORINE land-cover mapping to estimate carbon stored in the vegetation of Ireland. J. Environ. Manag. 58 (4), 269–287. http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jema.2000.0330.

Dale, V.H., 1997. The relationship between land-use change and climate change. Ecol. Appl. 7 (3), 753–769. http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761(1997)007[0753:TRBLUC]2.0.CO;2.

Eastman, R., 2016. TerrSet Manual. Clark University, Worcester, MA, USA.

Gibbs, H.K., Brown, S., Niles, J.O., Foley, J.A., 2007. Monitoring and estimating tropical forest carbon stocks: making REDD a reality. Environ. Res. Lett. 2 (4), 045023. http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/2/4/045023.

Goodenough, D.G., Chen, H., Dyk, A., Li, J., 2005. Multisensor data fusion for above-groung carbon estimation. Avalaible from. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228338816.

Huang, J., Wu, Y., Gao, T., Zhan, Y., Cui, W., 2015. An integrated approach based on Markov Chain and cellular automata to simulation of urban land use changes. Appl. Math. Inf. Sci. 9 (2), 769–775. http://dx.doi.org/10.12785/amis/090225.

Hunt Jr., E.R., Fahnestock, J.T., Kelly, R.D., Welker, J.M., Reiners, W.A., Smith, W.K., 2002. Carbon sequestration from remotely-sensed NDVI and net ecosystem exchange. In: Muttiah, R.S. (Ed.), From Laboratory Spectroscopy to Remotely Sensed Spectra of Terrestrial Ecosystems. Springer, pp. 161–174. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-1620-8_8.

Kareiva, P., Tallis, H., Ricketts, T.H., Daily, G.C., Polasky, S., 2011. Natural Capital. Theory and Practice of Mapping Ecosystem Services. University Press, Oxford. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199588992.001.0001.

Korateng, A., Zawilla-Niedzwiecki, T., 2015. Modelling forest loss and other land use change dynamics in Ashanti region of Ghana. Folia Forestalia Pol. Ser. A 57 (2), 96–111. http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/ffp-2015-0010.

Kuldeep, P., 2011. Forest carbon management using satellite remote sensing techniques. A case study of Sagar district (MP). E-Int. Sci. Res. J. 3 (4), 335–348.

Lal, R., 2004. Soil carbon sequestration impacts on global climate change and food security. Science 304 (5677), 1623–1627. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1097396.

Lawler, J.J., Lewis, D.J., Nelson, E., Plantinga, A.J., Polasky, S., Withey, J.C., Helmers, D.P., Martinuzzi, S., Pennington, D., Radeloff, V.C., 2014. Projected land-use change impacts on ecosystem services in the United States. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 111 (20), 7492–7497. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1405557111.

Liu, J.G., Mason, P.J., 2016. Image Processing and GIS for Remote Sensing: Techniques and Applications, second ed. Wiley-Blackwell, West Sussex. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9781118724194.

Machar, I., Simon, J., Rejšek, K., Pechanec, V., Brus, J., Kilianová, H., 2016. Assessment of forest management in protected areas based on multidisciplinary research. Forests 7 (11). http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/f7110285.

Mandal, R.A., van Laake, P., 2005. Carbon sequestration in community forests: an eligible issue for CDM (a case study of Nainital, India). Banko Janakari 15 (2), 53–61. http://dx.doi.org/10.3126/banko.v15i2.353.

Meinshausen, M., 2011. The RPC greenhouse gas concentrations and their extension from 1765 to 2500. Clim. Chang. 109 (Special Issue), 213–241. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10584-011-0156-z.

Mishra, V.N., Rai, P.K., Mohan, K., 2014. Prediction of land use changes based on land change modeller (LCM) using remote sensing: a case study of Muzaffarpur (Bihar), India. J. Geogr. Inst. Jovan Cvijic SASA 64 (1), 111–127. http://dx.doi.org/10.2298/IJGI1401111M.

Oulehle, F., Evans, C.D., Hofmeister, J., Krejci, R., Tahovska, K., Persson, T., Cudlin, P., Hruska, J., 2011. Major changes in forest carbon and nitrogen cycling caused by

declining sulphur deposition. Glob. Chang. Biol. 17 (10), 3115–3129. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02468.x.

Pagiola, S., 2008. Payments for environmental services in Costa Rica. Ecol. Econ. 65 (4), 712–724. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2007.07.033.

Razavi, B.S., 2014. Predicting the trend of land use changes using artificial neural network and Markov Chain model (case study: Kermanshah City). Res. J. Environ. Earth Sci. 6 (4), 215–226.

Sanga-Ngoie, K., Iizuka, K., Kobayashi, S., 2012. Estimating CO_2 sequestration by forests in Oita Prefecture, Japan, by combining LANDSAT ETM + and ALOS satellite remote sensing data. Remote Sens. 4, 3544–3570. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/rs4113544.

Schaldach, R., Priess, A., 2008. Integrated models of the land system: A Review of modelling approaches on the regional to global scale. Living Rev. Landsc. Res. 2 (1), 1–34. http://dx.doi.org/10.12942/lrlr-2008-1.

Stará, L., Matějka, K., Cudlín, P., Bodlák, L., Pokorný, J., Středa, T., Čížková, H., Pechar, L., Burešová, R., Zemek, F., Marek, M.M., 2011. Carbon supply in the vegetation of the Czech Republic and model carbon balance of the landscape. In: Marek, M.M. (Ed.), Carbon in the Ecosystems of the Czech Republic Under Changing Climate. Academia, Praha (in Czech).

Stern, N., 2007. The Economics of Climate Change: The Stern Review. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge and New York.

The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 2006. In: Buendia, L., Miwa, K., Ngara, T., Tanabe, K. (Eds.), 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Volume 4: Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use. Prepared by the National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme, Eggleston, HS. Institute for Global Environmental Strategies (IGES), Hayama, Japan.

Vicharnakorn, P., Shrestha, R.P., Nagai, M., Salam, A.P., Kiratiprayoon, S., 2014. Carbon stock assessment using remote sensing and forest inventory data in Savannakhet, Lao PDR. Remote Sens. 6, 5452–5479. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/rs6065452.

Watson, R.T., Noble, I.R., Bolin, B., Ravindramath, N.H., Verardo, D.J., Dokken, D.J. (Eds.), 2000. Land Use, Land-use Change, and Forestry (A Special Report of the IPCC). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Weyant, J., Azar, Ch., Kainuma, M., Kejun, J., Nakicenovic, N., Shukla, P.R., La Rovere, E., Yohe, G., 2009. Report of 2.6 Versus 2.9 Watts/m^2 RCPP Evaluation Panel. IPCC Secretariat, Geneva, Switzerland.

Zhang, X., Zhao, Y., Ashton, M.S., Lee, X., 2012. Methods of measuring carbon in forests. In: Ashton, M.S., Tyrrell, M.L., Spalding, D., Gentry, G. (Eds.), Managing Forest Carbon in a Changing Climate. Springer, pp. 139–164. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/2F978-94-007-2232-3.